

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD A. EVANS,

Plaintiff,

v.

R. MILAM, *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00070-JDP

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
FOR MISCELLANEOUS RELIEF

ECF No. 32

Plaintiff's motion for miscellaneous relief, ECF No. 32, is denied. Plaintiff's one-paragraph motion does not give the court a sufficient basis for determining what relief plaintiff seeks and why. In addition, the court notes that a protective order is typically reserved for discovery disputes, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. § (b)(2)(B), and is thus premature at this early stage of the litigation—in which defendants have not appeared and plaintiff still needs to file an amended complaint per the court's previous orders, *see* ECF Nos. 15, 26, 28.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 25, 2020

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

No. 205.